



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/688,803 10/17/2003		Lawrence M. Cuprys	0717.2039-001	9675	
21005	7590 06/30/2006	EXAMINER			
	N, BROOK, SMITH & RE	LIANG, F	LIANG, REGINA		
530 VIRGIN P.O. BOX 91		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			2629		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/688,86	03	CUPRYS, LAWRENCE M.			
		Examine		Art Unit			
		Regina Li		2629			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, treply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. Ty period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timed Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE!	N. the mailing date of this coorsists U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
·=	·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the appli	ication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-62 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	rt(e)						
_	n(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/688,803 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, 32-46, drawn to an instrument panel, classified in class 345, subclass1.1.
 - II. Claims 16-31, 47-62, drawn to a display system comprising optical lens system, classified in class 345, subclass 32.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as an instrument panel without an optical lens system as in invention II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,803

Art Unit: 2629

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Darrell Wong on 6/22/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2629

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Regina Liang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674

6/22/06